## QUORUM

(See "Boards - Meetings - Quorum", this index)

#### RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

Abolition of position held not racially motivated, (80:655, <a href="Perry">Perry</a>)

Board's hiring procedure held not improperly motivated (78:59, Mason)

(78:157, Scott)

Burden of proof; person alleging racial discrimination must show by

a preponderance of evidence that such discrimination occurred (81: August 18, <a href="mailto:Brown">Brown</a>)

Charges of racial discrimination (80: August 6, <u>Gonzales</u>) Charges of racial discrimination; none found (80:1193, <u>Page</u>, aff'd

St. Bd. 81: June 3)

Non-renewal of non-tenured teacher held not improperly motivated

(80: May 29, <u>Stevenson</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 80: September 3)

## RACIAL IMBALANCE

Authority and responsibility to enforce policy against segregation

(80:1158, <u>Linden</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 81: May 8, aff'd App. Div. 83:1594)

Board is responsible for adoption and implementation of suitable

plan, <u>Booker v. Plainfield Bd. of Ed.</u>, 45 <u>N.J.</u> 161 (1965) (C. dec. 63:136, 66:59, St. Bd. 64:167); <u>Morean v. Montclair Bd. of Ed.</u>, 42 <u>N.J.</u> 237 (1964) (C. dec. 63:154 aff'd St. Bd. 63:160); (63:123) (63:141, aff'd St. Bd. 63:147, related case in U.S. Dist. Ct. 64:177); (69:51) (76:187, <u>Roselle</u>) (77:1014, <u>Montclair Concerned Citizens Assoc.</u>) <u>Piscataway Bd. of Ed. v. Burke</u>, 158 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 436 (App. Div. 1978), appeal dismissed 79 <u>N.J.</u> 473 (1979)

Board may adopt plan to achieve racial balance in <u>all</u> of its schools

even if plan of such scope is not legally required (81: September 11, <u>Lettieri</u>)

Citizens participation (77:1014, <u>Montclair Concerned</u> <u>Citizens Assoc.</u>)

Approval of community study group not necessary for board

adoption of plan (81: September 11, <u>Lettieri</u>)

Commissioner of education

Broad powers of, <u>Jenkins v. Morris Twp. Sch. Dist.</u>, 58 <u>N.J.</u> 483

(1971) rev'g C. dec. 69:27 and 70:389, St. Bd. 71:688; related case 74:457, aff'd St. Bd. 74:487); Booker v. Plainfield Bd. of Ed., 45 N.J. 161 (1965) (C. dec. 63:136, 66:59, St. Bd. 64:167); Morean v. Montclair Bd. of Ed., 42 N.J. 237 (1964) (C. dec. 63:154 aff'd St. Bd. 63:160);

## RACIAL IMBALANCE - continued

## Commissioner of Education - continued

Broad powers of - continued

Elliott v. Neptune Twp. Bd. of Ed., 94 N.J. Super. 400 (App. Div. 1967) (C. dec. 66:52 aff'd St. Bd. 66:54); (66:15 and 66:21 aff'd St. Bd. 67:341 aff'd App. Div. 67:337, 67:343, certif. denied 50 N.J. 294 (1967) and 51 N.J. 179 (1968) Piscataway Bd. of Ed. v. Burke, 158 N.J. Super. 436 (App. Div. 1978), 79 N.J. 473 (1979)

Can order formulation and implementation of plan where

local

board has neglected to act, (Booker v. Plainfield Bd. of Ed., 45 N.J. 161 (1965) (C. dec. 63:136, 66:59, St. Bd. 64:167); Elliott v. Neptune Twp. Bd. of Ed., 94 N.J. Super. 400 (App. Div. 1967) (C. dec. 66:52 aff'd St. Bd. 66:54); (66:15 and 66:21, aff'd St. Bd. 67:341, aff'd App. Div. 67:337, 67:343, certif. denied 50 N.J. 294 (1967) and 51 N.J. 179 (1968); (67:312) (69:51) (70:269) (76:187, Roselle) (77:808, Plainfield) Piscataway Bd. of Ed. v. Burke, 158 N.J. Super. 436 (App. Div. 1978), 79 N.J. 473 (1979) In the Matter of the Board of Education of the Township of Hillside, aff'd App. Div., unpublished opinion (Docket No. A-1689-80-T4, January 26, 1982; St. Bd. 80: November 5, C. dec. 80: June 10)

Merger of school districts

Ordered by Commissioner to avoid racial imbalance, <u>Jenkins v. Morris Twp. Sch. Dist.</u>, 58 <u>N.J.</u> 483 (1971) (rev'g C. dec. 69:27 and 70:389, St. Bd. 71:688; related case 74:457 aff'd St. Bd. 74:487)

Not ordered (74:938 aff'd St. Bd. 75:1109; related case at 75:445 aff'd St. Bd. 75:454)

Where regional school district created by

#### Commissioner

under this power, voters do not have authority to choose basis of appointment, Morris Twp. Committee v. Morris Twp. Bd. of Ed., 60 N.J. 186 (1972); (74:9 remanded St. Bd. 74:1430)

Refusal to enjoin implementation of interim plan (71:301)

(71:438 aff'd St. Bd. 72:665)

Refusal to rescind approval of plan (74:929 aff'd St.

Bd.

75:1162)

Sending-receiving relationship

Maintenance of racial balance is one consideration

when

deciding termination, <u>Jenkins v. Morris Twp.</u>
<u>Sch. Dist.</u>, 58 <u>N.J.</u> 483 (1971) (rev'g C. dec.
69:27 and 70:389, St. Bd. 71:688; related
case 74:457, aff'd St. Bd. 74:487); (71:221,
aff'd St. Bd. 71:228) (72:286, aff'd St. Bd.
72:290) (74:457, aff'd St. Bd. 74:487)
(74:938, aff'd St. Bd. 75:1109; related case
75:445, aff'd St. Bd. 75:454) (77:662,
<u>Branchburg</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 77: September 7)
(89:1880, Belmar)

#### RACIAL IMBALANCE - continued

Definition of racial imbalance

Burden of proof; person alleging racial discrimination

must

show by a preponderance of evidence that discrimination occurred (81: August 18, <u>Brown</u>)
Department of Education guidelines, Piscataway Bd. of

Ed. v.

<u>Burke</u>, 158 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 436 (App. Div. 1978) 79 <u>N.J.</u> 473 (1979)

Desegregation plan, found unacceptable (80:1158,

Linden,

aff'd St. Bd. 81: May 8, aff'd App. Div. 83:1594) Not ratios but effect upon achievement of goals of

public

education (69:51); but see definition according to Dept. of Education guidelines (74:929, aff'd St. Bd. 75:1162)

Statistical demonstration of imbalance, <u>In the Matter</u>

of the

Board of Education of the Township of Hillside, aff'd App. Div., unpublished opinion (Docket No. A-1689-80-T4, January 26, 1982; St. Bd. 80: November 5; C. dec. 80: June 10)

Impact on racial composition of school's proposed withdrawal from MVC to MSC justified denial by NJSIAA (89: March 29, NJSIAA)

Plans

```
Acceptable, "magnet program" (77:1014, Montclair
     Concerned
                              Citizens Assoc.) (88: June 9,
                              Bernd)
          Involuntary busing (70:354) (74:929, aff'd St. Bd.
75:1162)
          Merger; compare Jenkins v. Morris Twp. Sch. Dist., 58
N.J. 483
               (1971) (rev'q C. dec. 69:27 and 70:389, St. Bd.
               71:688) with (74:938 aff'd St. Bd. 75:1109;
               related case at 75:445, aff'd St. Bd. 75:454)
          Reassignment of pupils, Morean v. Montclair Bd. of Ed.,
42 N.J.
               237 (1964) (C. dec. 63:154 aff'd St. Bd. 63:160);
               Schults v. Teaneck Bd. of Ed., 86 N.J. Super. 29
               (App. Div. 1964) aff'd o.b. 45 N.J. 2 (1965)
               (related case 65:152)
          Rescission requested by board, denied by Commissioner
(74:929,
               aff'd St. Bd. 75:1162)
          Standing of parents to intervene in challenge (74:2,
stay
               denied 74:7)
          Unacceptable (67:312), but later upheld (68:192, aff'd
St. Bd.
               68:199) (related case 71:301)
          Unacceptable, state aid withheld (76:187, Roselle)
          Upheld, Schults v. Teaneck Bd. of Ed., 86 N.J. Super.
29
               (App. Div. 1964) aff'd o.b. 45 N.J. 2 (1965)
               (related case 65:152); (63:123) (63:141, aff'd St.
               Bd. 63:147, related case in U.S. Dist. Ct. 64:177)
               (64:54, aff'd St. Bd. 64:60 (68:112) (70:25)
     Segregation, unlawful, Booker v. Plainfield Bd. of Ed., 45
N.J.
          161 (1965) (C. dec. 63:136, 66:59, St. Bd. 64:167);
          Hedgepeth v. Trenton Bd. of Ed., 131 N.J.L. 153 (Sup.
          Ct. 1944); (69:51)
     State policy and guidelines are reasonable (76:323,
Roselle)
```

#### RECORDS

(See "Pupils - Records", "Teachers - Records" and "Right to Know

Law", this index)

## REDUCTION IN FORCE

(See "Abolition of position", this index)

#### REFERENDA

(See "Elections - Referenda", this index)

#### REGIONAL BOARDS

(Includes decisions of Board of Review, see N.J.S.A. 18A:13-56)

Apportionment, excluding inhabitants of military reservation, held

unconstitutional, <u>Oceanport v. Hughes</u>, 186 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 109 (Ch. Div. 1982)

Apportionment, held unconstitutional,  $\underline{Franklin\ Tp.\ v.\ North\ Hunterdon}$ 

Reg. H.S. Bd. of Ed., 74 N.J. 345 (1977), cert. den. 435 U.S. 950 (1978)

Apportionment of members after census (85: January 29,  $\underline{\text{In}}$   $\underline{\text{the}}$ 

<u>Matter of the Application of Allentown and Upper Freehold</u>)

Appropriate to educational needs of the area (77:610, <a href="Ogdensburg">Ogdensburg</a>,

aff'd St. Bd. 77:618)

Commission has implied statutory authority to oversee and authorize,

in proper circumstances, the reduction in purpose of or a partial withdrawal from a limited purpose regional school district (88:2392, <u>Berlin</u>, rev'd St. Bd. 89:2996)

Creation of; referendum question (79: August 2, Bloomingdale,

unpublished opinion)

Creation of; transfer of tenure of teachers, and secretaries from

constituent district (81: February 6, <u>Franklin</u>)
Child study teams; services to constituent district (81: February 18,

<u>Castanien</u>)

Dissolution of regional district may only be accomplished by following statutory requirements of hearing before

Board of Review and referendum (86:796, Contini)

District withdrawing from regional district had no claim to

## share

of regional's funds or assets where question submitted to voters specifically stated that no assets or liabilities would be removed from regional upon withdrawal, (81: June 15, <a href="Egq Harbor">Egq Harbor</a>, aff'd St. Bd. 82: January 6, aff'd 188 <a href="N.J. Super.">N.J. Super.</a> 92 (App. Div. 1982) <a href="Certif.">Certif.</a> denied 93 <a href="N.J. 245">N.J. 245</a> (1983)

## **REGIONAL BOARDS** - continued

Equalization aid, calculation of on district-wide basis, upheld,

<u>Washington Twp. v. Burke</u>, 178 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 325 (App. Div. 1981), <u>certif.</u> <u>denied</u> 87 <u>N.J.</u> 413 (1981)

Equalized valuation (83: October 13, <u>Burlington County</u>)
Method and computation of apportionment, <u>Alfred Vail Mut.</u>
Assn. v.

New Shrewsbury, 58 N.J. 40 (1971); Matawan v. Monmouth
Cty. Bd. of Tax., 51 N.J. 291 (1968); Berkeley Hts.
Twp. v. Union Co. Bd. of Ed., 23 N.J. 276 (1957)

No voter approval of apportionment method required in compulsory

mergers ordered by Commissioner, <u>Morris Twp. Committee</u> <u>v. Morris Twp. Bd. of Ed.</u>, 60 <u>N.J.</u> 186 (1972)

Regional districts may retain per pupil basis for allocation of

budget appropriations on a gradually reduced basis for five years pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:13-23.1, Tp. of Princeton v. N.J. Dept. of Ed., 163 N.J. Super. 389 (App. Div. 1978)

Withdrawal of district; county superintendent required to file report

on distribution of assets per N.J.S.A. 18A:13-62 (81: June 15, Egg Harbor, aff'd St. Bd. 82: January 6, aff'd 188 N.J. Super. 92 (App. Div. 1982) certif. denied 93 N.J. 245 (1983))

Withdrawal of district, rights of personnel as to tenure, seniority

pension, leaves of absence, salary (80:553, Central Regional) (83: February 9, Hartnett, aff'd St. Bd. 83: June 1)

Withdrawal of district; withdrawing board's challenge to conditions

of withdrawal set by Board of Review and approved by electorate held <u>res judicata</u> (81: June 15, <u>Egg Harbor</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 82: January 6, aff'd 188 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 92 (App. Div. 1982) <u>certif.</u> <u>denied</u> 93 <u>N.J.</u> 245 (1983))

Withdrawal requests (Bd. Rev. 77:632, <u>Seaside Heights</u>, clarified

77:644 order 78: January 13) (Bd. Rev. 77:1162, Mt. Olive order 79: March 12) (Bd. Rev. 78:88, Runnemede) (Bd. Rev. 78:275, Egg Harbor Tp. order 78: October 25 order 79: February 21 order 79: April 25) (78:874, Runnemede, dismissed as out of time St. Bd. 79: March

7) (Bd. Rev. 80:465, <u>Marlboro</u>) (80: July 23, <u>Winslow</u>) (80: August 25, <u>Lunn</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 81: March 4) (Bd. Rev. 81: September 8, <u>Gloucester</u>) (82: October 22, <u>Shore Regional</u>) (83: July 21, <u>Seaside Heights</u>)

### RELIGION

Aid to parochial schools

Use of state or federal funds to provide teachers in parochial

schools held unconstitutional <u>Aquilar v. Felton</u>, 53 <u>U.S.L.W.</u> 5013 (1985); <u>Grand Rapids School</u>

<u>District of the City of Grand Rapids v. Ball</u>, 53 <u>U.S.L.W.</u> 5006 (1985); <u>see also Public Funds for Public Schools v. Marberger</u>, 358 <u>F.Supp.</u> 29 (D.N.J. 1973) aff'd 417 <u>U.S.</u> 961 (1974); (74:181) <u>NOTE:</u> School districts which receive Federal Chapter I funds still have the obligation to use other means to provide educational services on an equitable basis to parochial students eligible to participate in the Chapter I program, 20 <u>U.S.C.</u> section 3806(a), <u>U.S. Department of Education</u> Guidelines, August, 1985

Chapter I instructional services provided to parochial school students

in mobile classroom units at religiously affiliated school premises did not violate Constitutionally permitted procedures and guidelines (87:2319, <u>Paterson</u>)

Christmas carols; singing of, held not to be a religious exercise

(61-62:55)

Commissioner of Education may order the realignment of athletic

leagues and conferences to include parochial schools without violating the Establishment Clause (82: May 28, NJSIAA, St. Bd. granted motion to dismiss appeal 82: September 8)

Discrimination on basis of, not found (72:340, aff'd St. Bd. 73:51, aff'd App. Div. 75:1157, <u>certif.</u> <u>denied</u> 75:

June 4)

Drama

"Jesus Christ Superstar" production held not to be religious

exercise or religious in character; board action approving student production upheld (75:214, Jacobs)

Equal Access Act; district which allows one student non-curriculum

related group to meet on non-instructional time must allow other religious, political or philosophical groups to meet also. 20 <u>U.S.C.A.</u> 4071 <u>et seq</u>.

Exemption from Manitou test for T.B. denied (88:1007, <u>Spano</u>) Flag salute

Conscientious objection to (See "Flag", this index) Immunization

Religious exemption (See "Immunization", this index)
Non-denominational prayer at beginning of borough council
meeting

not violative of establishment clause, <u>Marsa v. Wernik</u>, 163 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 589 (Ch. Div. 1978), aff'd 171 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 587 (App. Div. 1980, aff'd 86 <u>N.J.</u> 232 (1981). No comparable decision concerning non-denominational prayer. Appears from case law that it would not be allowed under same rationale which prevents Bible reading.

## **RELIGION** - continued

Policy banning extracurricular school activities on the Sabbath, no

violation of First Amendment, <u>Student Members of the Playcrafters v. Teaneck Board of Education</u>, 177 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 66 (App. Div. 1981), aff'd 88 <u>N.J.</u> 74 (1981)

Prayers, Bible reading and "moments of silence" in schools
Alabama statute authorizing moment of silence for
prayer or

meditation held unconstitutional, <u>Wallace v.</u> <u>Jaffree</u>, 472 <u>U.S.</u> 38 (1985)

New Jersey statute mandating moment of silence held unconstitutional, <u>May v. Cooperman</u>, 572 <u>F.Supp.</u>
1561 (D.N.J. 1983), 780 <u>F.2d</u> 240 (3rd Cir. 1985), app. dism. <u>sub</u>. <u>nom.</u>, <u>Karcher v. May</u>, 98 <u>L.Ed.2d</u> 327 (1987)

New Jersey statute requiring prayers and Bible reading

held

unconstitutional, <u>State Bd. of Ed. v. Netcong Bd. of Ed.</u>, 57 <u>N.J.</u> 172 (1970) <u>cert. den.</u> 401 <u>U.S.</u> 1013 (1971); <u>Sills v. Hawthorne Bd. of Ed.</u>, 42 <u>N.J.</u> 351 (1964)

Religious discrimination alleged as basis for non-renewal of non-tenured teacher (80: May 2, <a href="Korman">Korman</a>)

Religious holidays

Board may question sincerity and legitimacy of Catholic student

observing Jewish holiday (85: October 29, <u>Carlin</u>)
Religious leave; board may not grant paid leaves of absence solely for

religious observance; <u>Hunterdon Central High School Bd.</u> of Ed. v. <u>Hunterdon Central High School Teachers'</u> <u>Association</u>, 174 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 468 (1980), aff'd 86 <u>N.J.</u> 43 (1981)

Shared time and dual enrollment programs, constitutionality of,

Atty. Gen. Formal Opinion No. 4, 1965 State formula for distribution of equalization aid challenged as

abridgment of freedom of religion, <u>Karcher v. Byrne</u>, 146 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 532 (Law Div. 1977) aff'd 158 <u>N.J.</u> <u>Super.</u> 67 (App. Div. 1978)

Transportation for parochial school students
Constitutionality of N.J.S.A. 18A:39-1 upheld, West
Morris Reg.

Bd. of Ed. v. Sills, 58 N.J. 464 (1971) rev'g 110
N.J. Super. 234 (Ch. Div. 1970); see also McCanna
v. Sills, 103 N.J. Super. 480 (Ch. Div. 1968);
Woodbury Hts. Bd. of Ed. v. Gateway Req. H.S. Bd.
of Ed., 104 N.J. Super. 76 (Law Div. 1968)

Use of public schools for religious purposes, <u>Resnick v.</u>

## East

Brunswick Bd. of Ed., 77 N.J. 88 (1978) (39-49:34) (63:62) (75:214, Jacobs) see also Equal Access Act, 20 U.S.C.A. 4071 et seq.: district which allows one student non-curriculum related group to meet on school grounds during non-instructional time must allow other religious, political or philosophical groups to meet also

#### REPRESENTATIVES

(See also "Unions", this index)

Right of teacher to be accompanied by representative at any meeting

with board or member thereof (74:316, dismissed St. Bd. 74:323)

#### RES JUDICATA

Collateral Estoppel

Generally (81: October 5, Wyckoff, aff'd St. Bd. 82:

May 5)

(82: November 22, <u>Rogers</u>; rev'd on other grounds, St. Bd. 83:1626)

Application of collateral estoppel (72:353)

Application of <u>res</u> <u>judicata</u> (76:473, <u>Karamessinis</u>)

(77:1091,

Frick)

Both doctrines applied and distinguished (82: March 8,

<u>Janus</u>)

(85: March 25, Killino)

Collateral estoppel bars petition (83: September 26,

<u>Kulik</u>,

aff'd on other grounds St. Bd. 84: February 1)

(84: January 17, <u>Sallette</u>)

Neither doctrine applied where substantive issue not addressed

in prior case which was decided on procedural grounds (87: 2175, D'Alonzo)

Neither <u>res judicata</u> nor collateral estoppel bars

workers

compensation judge from considering claim for temporary disability for injuries Commissioner determined were non-work related, <u>Forgash v. Lower Camden County School District</u>, 208 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 461 (App. Div. 1985)

Doctrine may be used in tenure hearing to estop a teacher from denying facts which sustained conviction of a criminal offense; Note, offense in instant matter occurred prior to effective date of N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2

(Orazio Tanelli, 194 N.J. Super. 492

(App. Div. 1984), certif. denied 99 N.J. 181 (1984), aff'g 83:1646, St. Bd. rev'g 82: September 9)

Doctrine must be applied equitably not mechanically (Orazio Tanelli, 194 N.J. Super. 492 (App. Div. 1984), certif. denied 99 N.J. 181 (1984), aff'g (83:1646, St. Bd. rev'g 82: September 9)

Equitable estoppel

Doctrine did not prevent termination of uncertified guidance

counselor with five years service where guidance counselor knew of deficiency in certification (83:1240, Hansen)

Superintendent, non-tenured, equitable estoppel bars relief for

improper termination based on failure to evaluate three times annually where superintendent recommended board's policy for single annual evaluation of superintendent (83: May 25, McGuchin, aff'd with opinion St. Bd. 83: September 7)

General application (76:473, <u>Karamessinis</u>) (77:1091, <u>Frick</u>) (88: May

2, <u>Caldwell</u>)

## **RES JUDICATA** - continued

Judicial rules (e.g.  $\underline{\text{res}}$   $\underline{\text{judicata}}$ , collateral estoppel, the single

controversy doctrine, stare decisis) are not strictly applicable to administrative actions, although they may be applied if appropriate, dicta contained in initial decision (84: January 17, Newman); but see (84: January 17, Sallette)

## Res judicata

Arbitrator's award finding supplemental teachers not entitled

to additional salary under contract does not bar teachers from seeking additional salary before Commissioner and State Board (87: April 1, <a href="Piscataway">Piscataway</a>, St. Bd. aff'g in part, rev'g in part 83: October 13)

Bars claim (84: January 17, <u>Sallette</u>) (84: January 23, <u>Rush</u>) (85: March 25, <u>Killino</u>) (88:787, Caldwell)

 $\underline{\text{Res}}$   $\underline{\text{judicata}}$  and collateral estoppel bar re-litigation of school

closing (88:1, Save Our Schools Ass'n)

Res judicata compared to stare decisis (82: March 19,
Mauro)

Res judicata does not bar litigation over back pay after decision dismissing tenure charges (82: February 5, Siedlarczyk, aff'd St. Bd. 82: August 4)

#### RESIDENCE

(See "Boards - Membership - Qualifications", "Pupils - Residence

for school purposes" and "Teachers - Residence", this
index)

## RESIGNATION

Back pay from time of suspension to time of resignation denied since

completion of PTI did not constitute favorable dismissal of criminal charges (88:132, <u>Pawlak</u>, aff'd with modifications St. Bd. 88:154, aff'd App. Div.

unreported op. (Dkt. No. A-5083-87T2 July 12, 1989))
Board members

(See also "Boards - Membership - Resignation", this index)

Board's refusal to rescind arbitrary and capricious. Local board

could not, without justification and in conflict with past practice require secretary to pass exam as condition of rescission of intent to retire (88:614, <u>Hall</u>, decision on remand 88:633, decision on motion St. Bd. 89: March 1, aff'd St. Bd. 89:3009, aff'd App. Div. unreported op. (Dkt. No. A-5454-88T3 May 25, 1990), rev'd and remanded 125 N.J. 299 (1990))

Computation of salary (80:58, <u>Parker</u>) (81: December 16, <u>Campion</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 82: May 5)

## **RESIGNATION** - continued

#### Employees

Agreement to resign from administrative position (79:558, <u>Mancia</u>,

aff'd St. Bd. 80: January 9)

Cannot suspend tenured teacher pending resignation

unless

formal charges are filed (79:200, <a href="McGuire">McGuire</a>)
Claim that resignation was coerced not upheld (77:416,
<a href="McGuire">Pedersen</a>, aff'd St. Bd. 77:421)

Duress rejected (83: September 26, Klinka, aff'd St.

Bd. 84:

March 7) (83: November 14, Rippe, aff'd St. Bd. 84: July 11)

Effective only after acceptance by board (59-60:60)

(74:1236)

but see <u>Evaul v. Camden Bd. of Ed.</u>, 35 <u>N.J.</u> 244 (1961) (76:633, Kozak)

Filing for disability retirement benefits means a termination

of employment (77:422, <u>Laing</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 77:421)

Final upon acceptance by the Board; must be knowingly and

voluntarily proffered without duress or coercion (87:729, <u>Brunnquell</u>, rev'd St. Bd. 87:736,

decision on remand 88:347, aff'd St. Bd. 88:351)

Lack of formal acceptance by board (79:439, <u>Cohen</u>) Modification of resignation not permitted after

acceptance by

board (88:31, Fischer)

Notice required (See-Certificate Suspension)

For non-tenured teachers, N.J.S.A. 18A:26-10; for

tenured

teachers, N.J.S.A. 18A:28-8 (70:460); see also (70:147) and Evaul v. Camden Bd. of Ed., 65 N.J. Super. 68 (App. Div.) rev'd 35 N.J. 244 (1961) (76:633, Kozak) (77:1235, Verge) (88:904, Zweig)

Notice required under <a href="N.J.S.A.">N.J.S.A.</a> 18A:28-8; 60 days, if

tenured

teaching staff member (83: July 15, <u>Minnich</u>) (87:2016, <u>Drake</u>)

Renders moot supervisor's claim that non-renewal was arbitrary

and capricious (88:171, <u>Walker</u>) Rescission of resignation by teacher Before acceptance (54-55:98)

Final on acceptance (69-60:60) (76:633, <u>Kozak</u>)

(77:

416, <u>Pedersen</u> aff'd St. Bd. 77:421) (87:729, <u>Brunnquell</u>, rev'd St. Bd. 87:736, decision on remand 88:347, aff'd St. Bd. 88:351)

Rescission valid since board knew of teacher's mental

state

and should have sought psychiatric examination before accepting resignation (78:761, <a href="Knoblauch">Knoblauch</a>) Resignation from part-time position does not affect

teacher's

right to remain on reemployment list for full-time position (84:1975, Mishkin v. Mountainside Bd. of Ed., App. Div. (Docket No. A-803-83T2, decided November 2, 1984), rev'g 83:117, aff'd St. Bd. 83:148)

## **RESIGNATION** - continued

Employees - continued

Submission of retirement papers to pension fund by secretary  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{may}}$ 

impact on propriety of board's refusal to extend secretary's resignation; matter remanded (88:614, Hall, decision on remand 88:633, decision on motion St. Bd. 89: March 1, aff'd App. Div. unreported op. (Dkt. No. A-5454-88T3 May 25, 1990), rev'd and remanded 125 N.J. 299 (1990))

Teachers Pension and Annuity Fund; withdrawal from fund

is

resignation from position with school district and terminates tenure rights acquired prior thereto (83:534, <u>Pfeiffer</u>)

Tenure forfeited though reemployed two days after effective

date (84: October 11, <u>Tomlin</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 85: February 6)

Termination of employment with application for deferred retirement constituted resignation (89:87, <u>Sathan</u>)

Under duress alleged (67:11) (70:207) (72:432) (72:340 and 73:51, aff'd St. Bd. 74:1433, aff'd App. Div. 75:1157, certif. denied 75: June 4) (79:558, Mancia, aff'd St. Bd. 80: January 9)

When teacher asked board for permission to resign as

soon as

possible and board chose day before Thanksgiving, teacher was not entitled to payment for holiday (81: December 16, <u>Campion</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 82: May 5)

Where ambiguous contract provision and past practice

exist

permitting modification of retirement date after resignation accepted, board cannot impose performance based condition without justification (88:633, <u>Hall</u>, rev'd St. Bd. 89:3009, aff'd App. Div. unpublished decision (Docket No. A-5454-88T3) May 25, 1990, rev'd and remanded 125 <u>N.J.</u> 299 (1991))

Withdrawal of resignation

Ineffective after acceptance by board,

distinguishes

Evaul v. Board of Education of Camden, 35
N.J. 244 (1961) (83: September 26, Klinka,

aff'd St. Bd. 84: March 7) <u>see</u> <u>also</u> (84:769, Bosner) (88:1149, Hanley)

# RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS Accidental disability

Entitlement to for emotional trauma discussed,  $\underline{\text{Pushko}}$   $\underline{\text{v. Bd.}}$ 

of Trustees of Teachers Pension and Annuity Fund, 202 N.J. Super. 98 (App. Div. 1985), remanded by Supreme Court for reconsideration in light of definition of "traumatic event" in Kane v. Board of Trustees, Police and Firemen's Retirement Fund, 100 N.J. 651 (1985); decision on remand, 208 N.J. Super. 141 (App. Div. 1986), remands case to TPAF Board of Trustees

# RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS - continued Accidental disability - continued

Teacher who slipped on floor did not suffer "traumatic event" to

qualify for accidental disability retirement.

<u>Maynard v. Bd. of Trustees</u>, 113 <u>N.J.</u> 169 (1988)

Accumulated sick leave, payment upon retirement is not a statutory

right but one conferred through collective negotiations (85: February 19, <u>Goetze</u>) (87:1911, <u>Pelle</u>, St. Bd. aff'd in part, rev'd in part 88:2463, aff'd App. Div. unreported op. (Dkt. No. A-4415-87T1 March 1, 1989))

Accumulated vacation days; Civil Service employee may not accumulate

beyond following year (91:2542, <u>Mayo</u>, St. Bd. modifying 89:2603)

Board's claim for repayment of excess accumulated sick leave time-barred by 90 day rule (87:1911, <u>Pelle</u>, St. Bd. aff'd in part, rev'd in part 88:2463, aff'd App. Div. unreported op. (Dkt. No. A-4415-87T1 March 1, 1989))

Date that employee received approval of retirement triggered 31 day

period for conversion to individual life policy under TPAF. <u>McKenna v. Prudential Ins.</u>, 224 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 172 (App. Div. 1988)

## Disability pension

Accidental disability pension granted for teacher whose injury

occurred on school premises before the beginning

of the teaching day, <u>In re Carlson</u>, 174 <u>N.J.</u> <u>Super.</u> 603 (App. Div. 1980)

## Accidental disability retirement benefits

Denied to teacher who injured back opening window

prior to

start of school day. Ordinary retirement benefits awarded. Fulco v. Bd. of Trustees of TPAF, August 12, 1981 ALJ decision affirmed by Bd. of Trustees of TPAF. See 1986 amendment to N.J.S.A. 18A:66-39(c). Filing application terminated employment;

rescission

by TPAF does not automatically reinstate teacher (77:422, <u>Laing</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 77:427) Heart attack is not accidental death, <u>Russo v.</u>

Teachers'

Pens. & Ann. Fund, 62 N.J. 142 (1973)
May not be collected if also receiving workman's compensation benefits for same accident,
 Russo v. Teachers' Pens. & Ann. Fund, 62 N.J.
142 (1973); Lahm v. State, 112 N.J. Super.
167 (App. Div. 1970); Swan v. Bd. of Trustees of Teachers' Pens. Fund, 85 N.J. Super.
 (App. Div. 1964)

Rejection of accidental disability retirement

claim

will not automatically convert application to one for service retirement, <u>Sobel v. Bd. of Trustees of Teachers' Pens. & Ann. Fund</u>, 139 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 55 (App. Div. 1976)

Service-connected disability pension; injury must be a "direct" result of incident occurring at school, <u>Titman v. Bd. of Trustees of Teachers' Pens. & Ann. Fund</u>, 107 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 244 (App. Div. 1969); (60-61:175)

**RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS** - continued **Disability pension** - continued

Accidental disability retirement benefits - continued

To recover accidental disability retirement
benefit, injured

public employee must demonstrate that injuries were not induced by stress or strain of normal work effort; traumatic event is necessary. Gable v. Bd. of Trustees of PERS, 115 N.J. 212 (1989)

Accrual, effective date is when application approved by Board of Trustees; 30 day waiting period invalid, Frigola v. St. Bd. of Ed., 25 N.J. Super. 75 (App. Div. 1953) (St. Bd. 51-52:59) Blinding of school custodian's eye by bristles of broom was "traumatic event" within meaning of statute. Duigan v. PERS, 223 N.J. Super. 208 (App. Div. 1988) Board must make specific findings and certification in application for disability retirement (58-59:80, aff'd St. Bd. 58-59:82, aff'd App. Div. 61-62:203) (60-61:175)Board ordered to apply for disability retirement on behalf of teacher (77:403, Reilly) (77:876, Healy, aff'd and modified St. Bd. 78:1019) Disability pension granted for teacher who underwent sex change, <u>In re Grossman</u>, 157 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 165 (App. Div. 1978) Forced retirement Delay of over 2 years in appealing, laches operates to bar suit (60-61:142, aff'd App. Div. 61-62:203) Emotional imbalance; upheld (70:33) Mental abnormality; physicians conducted examinations to confirm no recovery; upheld (58-59:80, aff'd St. Bd. 58-59:82, aff'd App. Div. 61-62:203) Tenure lost upon disability retirement (82: January 6, Kopel) Early retirement Agreement upheld against claim that it was unlawful gift of public monies (74:216, aff'd St. Bd. 74:225) Effective date is when application approved by Fund; 30-day waiting period invalid, <u>Diebert v. Bd. of</u> <u>Trustees</u>, 83 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 403 (App. Div. 1964) Teacher showed good cause to convert ordinary disability retirement plan to early service retirement.

Steinmann v. State, Department of Treasury, 116
N.J. 564 (1989), rev'g 235 N.J. Super. 356 (App.

Div. 1988)

Eligibility of Title I teachers for TPAF; determination is exclusive

to TPAF, <u>Middlesex Educational Services Commission Ed.</u>
<u>Assn. v. Middlesex Educational Services Commission</u>,

App. Div., unpublished opinion, (Docket No.

A-3813-81T1, March 2, 1983) <u>certif. denied</u> 94 <u>N.J.</u> 583 (1983) rev'g (81: April 30, aff'd St. Bd. 82: March 25)

# RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS - continued Forced retirement

Based upon age, upheld (76:1008, <a href="Hedrick">Hedrick</a>)

Board has no power to retire teacher on its own initiative

(79:4, <u>Dunn</u>, aff'd St. Bd. 79:4)

Life insurance benefits

Denied; must be "active member" at time of death,

Rogers v.

State, 125 N.J. Super. 516 (App. Div. 1973) aff'd
o.b. 64 N.J. 40 (1973)

New designation of beneficiary form must be filed when benefits

are statutorily changed, <u>Meyer v. Bd. of Trustees</u>, 49 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 255 (App. Div. 1958)

#### Pension

Amount; TPAF has exclusive jurisdiction to decide (80: December 8, <u>Taylor</u>)

Application must be properly signed (54-55:78) Attorney's eligibility for membership in county pension

fund

(N.J.S.A. 18A:66-94 <u>et seq.</u>), only eligible if salaried, not when employed on fee basis (70:66, aff'd St. Bd. 71:663) <u>see also Koribanics v.</u> Clifton Bd. of Ed., 48 N.J. 1 (1966)

Attorney's eligibility for membership in PERS. Atty

#### General

Formal Opinion No. 27 (1976) <u>See also Fasolo v. Bd. of Trustees</u>, 181 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 434 (App. Div. 1981), 190 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 573 (App. Div. 1983) and <u>Hiering v. PERS</u>, 197 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 14 (App. Div. 1984)

Commissioner of education has no jurisdiction to hear disputes concerning pension rights, <u>Bd. of Trustees v. LaTronica</u>, 81 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 461 (App. Div. 1963) (C. dec. 61-62:67, St. Bd. 61-62:73, 63:252); contra (70:66, aff'd St. Bd. 71:663)

Coverage, credits for temporary employment under

# N.J.S.A.

18A:66-14 (75:505, <u>Weehawken</u>)

Deductions from salary are responsibility of teacher

even

if error discovered at time of retirement, <u>Linden</u> <u>Bd. of Ed. v. Liebman</u>, 56 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 556 (Ch. Div. 1959)

Designation of beneficiary of benefits; new form

required

when benefits are statutorily changed, <u>Meyer v. Bd. of Trustees</u>, 49 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 255 (App. Div. 1958)

Determination of salary for retirement purposes, Bd. of

Trustees

v. LaTronica, 81 N.J. Super. 461 (App. Div. 1963)
(C. dec. 61-62:67, St. Bd. 61-62:73, 63:252);
Matthews v. Irvington Bd. of Ed., 29 N.J. Super.
232 (Law Div. 1953) aff'd 31 N.J. Super. 292 (App. Div. 1954)

Employment of janitor on retirement (39-49:3)
Filing date, held to be flexible, <u>Swiney v. Dept. of</u>

<u>Treas.</u>, 84

N.J. Super. 186 (App. Div. 1964)

## RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS - continued

**Pension** - continued

Leaves of absence

Distinguished from abandonment of position

(71:403)

If official, still in pension fund (61-62:82)

(71:403)

No benefits if not on official leave of absence,

<u>Rogers</u>

v. State, 125 N.J. Super. 516 (App. Div.
1973) aff'd o.b. 64 N.J. 40 (1973)

Maternity leave, purchase back full credit into fund, <u>Geller v. Dept. of Treas.</u>, 53 <u>N.J.</u> 591 (1969) Maternity leave does not encompass leave for child

rearing

after the pregnancy-related disability has passed, <a href="Chaleff">Chaleff</a> v. TPAF, 188 N.J. Super. 176 (App. Div. 1983)

Maternity leave, untimely application to purchase credit will be

denied unless Board of Trustees of TPAF exercises its discretion to grant a waiver of the time limit, <u>Ziqmont v. TPAF</u>, 91 <u>N.J.</u> 580 (1983), reversing and remanding 182 <u>N.J.</u> <u>Super.</u> 50 (App. Div. 1981)

Military leave; payments made by board of education

remain

in pension fund if employee later withdraws from fund, <u>Bruder v. Teachers' Pens. & Ann. Fund</u>, 27 <u>N.J.</u> 266 (1958)

Membership rights are statutory, <u>Linden Bd. of Ed. v.</u>

Liebman,

56 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 556 (Ch. Div. 1959)

Professional services compensated by a fixed annual retainer are

eligible services for PERS. <u>Atty General Formal Opinion No. 27</u> (1976). See also <u>Fasolo v. Bd. of Trustees</u>, 181 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 434 (App. Div. 1981), 190 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 573 (App. Div. 1983) and <u>Hiering v. PERS</u>, 197 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 14 (App. Div. 1984)

Public employment; New Jersey statute conditioning pensioners'

right of public employment in N.J. upon giving up, for period of such employment, pensions obtained from public employment within or outside N.J. is

constitutional as applied to pensioners from Pennsylvania, <u>Bortel v. Cherry Hill Bd. of Ed.</u>, 99 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 529 (App. Div. 1968) rev'g 95 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 289 (Ch. Div. 1967)

Purpose of; pension statutes are to be liberally construed,

 $\frac{\text{Geller v. Dept. of Treas.}, \; 53 \; \underline{\text{N.J.}} \; 591 \; (1969)}{\text{Salary increase for borough attorney from $18,000 to}}$ 

over two years prior to retirement granted primarily in anticipation of retirement. <u>DiMaria v. Bd. of Tr. PERS</u>, 225 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 341 (App. Div. 1988)

Salary increases;  $\underline{ad}$   $\underline{hoc}$  increases in last years of employment

in order to increase retirement allowances invalid, <u>Bd. of Trustees v. LaTronica</u>, 81 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 461 (App. Div. 1963) (C. dec. 61-62:67; St. Bd. 61-62:73, 63:252) But see, <u>Hiering v. PERS</u>, 197 N.J. Super. 14 (App. Div. 1984)

## RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS - continued

**Pension** - continued

Sick leave; ordinary disability retirement approved, petitioner

is entitled to use accumulated sick leave (less temporary disability payments) during period of disability, but is not entitled to payment for unused sick leave after retirement, absent provision in collective negotiations agreement (84: April 16, Pushko)

Sick leave, unused sick leave may be used in calculating

retirement compensation, <u>Maywood Ed. Assn. v.</u>

<u>Maywood Bd. of Ed.</u>, 131 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 551 (Ch. Div. 1974); but employee who is involuntarily retired pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 18A:66-39 has no entitlement to payment for unused sick leave (78:807, <u>Sharp</u>)

Veterans

Joining teachers' pension fund is not a waiver of

veterans' pension; 20 years of service need not be in same department of government, <u>Race v. Newton Bd. of Ed.</u>, 37 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 333 (App. Div. 1955)

Refund of "accumulated deductions" does not

include

right to

contributions made on behalf of teacher by board during military leave when teacher later withdraws from fund, <u>Bruder v. Teachers' Pens. & Ann. Fund</u>, 27 <u>N.J.</u> 266 (1958)

Withdrawal; strictly construed, Frigola v. State Bd. of

<u>Ed.</u>, 25

<u>N.J. Super.</u> 75 (App. Div. 1953) (St. Bd. 51-52:59); (61-62:82)

Workmen's compensation; if receive ordinary service retirement

benefits, claim may be made for award of workmen's compensation, Russo v. Teachers' Pens. & Ann.
Fund, 62 N.J. 142 (1973); Lahm v. State, 112 N.J.
Super. 167 (App. Div. 1970); Swan v. Bd. of
Trustees of Teachers' Pens. Fund, 85 N.J. Super.
226 (App. Div. 1964)

Stay of order to reinstate teacher pending State Board

decision on

retirement issue, denied (89: January 10, <u>Hall</u>) Substitute teachers, not eligible for TPAF (80:972, <u>Kearny</u>) Supplemental retirement benefits program

Board has no authority to establish, Fair Lawn Ed.

Assn. v. Fair

Lawn Bd. of Ed., 79 N.J. 574 (1979)

Pension fund estopped from seeking reimbursement of additional

funds paid out pursuant to supplemental plan which was elected by teachers prior to <u>Fair Lawn</u> decision, <u>Miller v. TPAF</u>, 179 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 473 (App. Div. 1981)

Tenure

Tenure and seniority rights terminated upon receipt of pension

(87:776, Young, aff'd St. Bd. 87:784)

## RETIREMENT AND PENSIONS - continued

Title I teachers, TPAF must determine eligibility for membership

<u>Middlesex Educational Services Commission Ed. Assn. v.</u>
Middlesex

Educational Services Commission, App. Div., unpublished opinion, (Docket No. A-3813-81T1, March 2, 1983) certif. denied 94 N.J. 583 (1983) rev'g 81: April 30, aff'd St. Bd. 82: March 25

Where ambiguous contract provision and past practice exist permitting

modification of retirement date after resignation accepted, board cannot impose performance based condition without justification (88:633, <u>Hall</u>, rev'd St. Bd. 89:3009, aff'd App. Div. unpublished decision (Docket No. A-5454-88T3) May 25, 1990, rev'd and remanded 125 <u>N.J.</u> 299 (1991))

While pension benefits can be modified in interest of assuring integrity of pension system, despite compensatory aspect of their nature, benefits cannot be rescinded unilaterally where underlying motivation is erroneous belief that benefits must be discontinued. Gauer v. Essex Cty. Div. of Welfare, 108 N.J. 140 (1987)

Widow entitled to receive continuation benefits for two months during

each year during which she did not work as a teacher. Sarisky v. ER Squibb & Sons Inc., 233 N.J. Super. 533 (App. Div. 1989)

## RIGHT TO KNOW LAW

Access to results of standardized achievement tests upheld,

<u>Citizens for Better Education v. Camden Bd. of Ed.</u>, 124

<u>N.J. Super.</u> 523 (App. Div. 1973)

Access to should be without unnecessary "red tape" or delay (89:1434, Sokolosky)

Board of education has no obligation to do homework for a person

who wants to see documents (87:2442, <u>Laufgas</u> - discovery order of Commissioner, aff'd St. Bd. 88:2496)

Common law right of access to public records other than

Right to Know

records requires balancing of needs for confidentiality

against public interest. <u>Techniscan v. Passaic Valley</u> Water, 113 N.J. 233 (1988)

## RIGHT TO KNOW LAW - continued

Curriculum reports prepared by consultant are public records under

common law definition but not under Right to Know Act; remand ordered to determine whether public interest in disclosure outweighs need for confidentiality, <u>Red Bank Register v. Red Bank Bd. of Ed.</u>, 206 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 1 (App. Div. 1985)

Discovery rights of a petitioner may be limited because of Right to

Know Law, pursuant to  $\underline{N.J.A.C.}$  1:1-10.1(d) (87:2442,  $\underline{Laufgas}$  - discovery order of Commissioner, aff'd St. Bd. 88:2496)

Even without testimonial compulsion, information concerning patient's medical condition can be disclosed to someone having a legitimate interest. <u>Kurdek v. West Orange</u>

<u>Bd. of Ed.</u>, 222 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 218 (Law Div. 1987)

Exceptions to law

Official poll lists must be sealed and are exempt from provisions of law, Shanahan v. N.J. State Bd. of Ed., 118 N.J. Super. 212 (App. Div. 1972) but it is permissible for challengers to compile their own list of persons voting in school elections, Shanahan v. N.J. State Bd. of Ed., 133 N.J. Super. 34 (App. Div. 1975) (C. dec. 67:28, 71:134, 72:225 aff'd St. Bd. 72:231, 73:371 and 73:376)

Personnel records held exempt, except for certain basic information such as salary, length of service, etc., <a href="Trenton Times Corp. v. Trenton Bd. of Ed.">Trenton Times Corp. v. Trenton Bd. of Ed.</a>, 138 <a href="N.J. Super.">N.J. Super.</a> 357 (App. Div. 1976) (75:243, Glab) <a href="Collins v. Camden County Health Dept">Collins v. Camden County Health Dept</a>., 200 <a href="N.J. Super.">N.J. Super.</a> 281 (Law Div. 1984) See Executive Orders 9 and 11.

"Public record", common law definition of discussed, along with

standards for evaluating public agency's claim that confidentiality must be maintained, <u>Loigman v. Kimmelman</u>, 102 <u>N.J.</u> 98 (1986)

"Public record", definition of, clarified and limited,

<u>Nero</u>

а

<u>v. Hyland</u>, 76 <u>N.J.</u> 213 (1978) rev'g 146 <u>N.J.</u> <u>Super.</u> 46 (App. Div. 1977), 136 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 537 (Law Div. 1975) <u>Collins v. Camden County Health</u> Dept., 200 N.J. Super. 281 (Law Div. 1984)
Pupil records held exempt (74:1332)

Records concerning disbursement of expense allowance of Governor held not to be "public record" under law, Ramer v. Byrne, 154 N.J. Super. 463 (Law Div. 1977)

 $\,$  Record of OAL proceedings may not be sealed without good cause

as per <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-3.1 (85: January 9, <u>Van</u> <u>Decker</u>)

Terms of settlement cannot be withheld from the public (85: April 11, <u>Kelley</u>)

Persons engaged in business of searching public records for profit have same access to information under Right to Know Law as any other citizen. <u>Techniscan v. Passaic Valley Water</u>, 113 <u>N.J.</u> 233 (1988)

## RIGHT TO KNOW LAW - continued

Public entity may establish or request court to establish reasonable

Union has no right under Right to Know Law to names and addresses of

county employees since county was not required by law to keep such records; "common law right" to records not addressed. <a href="D'Elena v. Burlington County Bd.">D'Elena v. Burlington County Bd.</a>, 203 <a href="N.J.Super.">N.J.Super.</a> 109 (App. Div. 1985)